

# I. Assessment of participants' evaluation of the Workshop

n=20  
five missing

Goals:	<b>Strongly agree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Don't know</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Strongly disagree</b>
The seminar made me feel better prepared to plan and manage my own project.	9	11			
I have learned tools and techniques and I am ready to start applying them in real projects.	11	9			
Through the seminar I have understood the logic and principles of the international Monitoring & Evaluation standards.	6	8	4	1	1

## II. Assessment of participants' evaluation of the Workshop

Answers from open questions:

<b>This is what I liked about the seminar:</b>	<i>The good communication with the group, the storytelling part, the enthusiasm of the presenters, the activities outdoors.</i>
	<i>Bringing the topics in which are usually not discussed in academia, like storytelling</i>
	<i>New tools, specially the OpenForis.</i>
	<i>The lectures about pitching as it is useful for many aspects in live; Food, hotel, organization</i>
	<i>I have the opportunity to learn and to exchange experience with people from different background; Have the chance to work in a project and listen others progress too; Pitching; Knowing new tools with "OpenForis"; Food; Hotel</i>
	<i>The logical framework; Data solution to project monitoring; Storification</i>
	<i>The hosts were really down to earth and easy to learned with. They made interaction easier. The presentars were well sourced and fit their roles.</i>
	<i>Storytelling was great but missing real case studies; Logicframe but to give detailed feedback and guide through until it is really good.</i>
	<i>I liked storytelling, excel tool, photography, design seminar</i>
	<i>First part on project management tools (also theoretical and practical); Last part on storytelling (really fun)</i>
	<i>The diversity of participants; culturally &amp; professionally</i>
	<i>The concept were well explained and experts were very good at answering questions.</i>
	<i>Topics and structured</i>
	<i>Organization stuff; group work; digital skills</i>
	<i>Relevancy for the international students</i>
	<i>I like the storytelling part and the participatory part of the training.</i>
	<i>Most of presentations are instant package to apply. Structure of workshop is tailored to be interactive which through we can learn others experience.</i>
	<i>I like 2 different part most interesting. The one was the Bridging Principles and the story part "Storytelling" activities.</i>
	<i>I loved that each aspect of project management was distributed throughout the week. It helped us delve unto the topics and learn more. The practical aspects &amp; group work were essential and showed us how to apply theoretical concepts.</i>

---

*I really liked the mix of theory & hands on, the diverse background of participants, storytelling & photography.*

---

*The combination of theories and practical group experiences ; the rich variety of topics*

---

**This is what I did not like about the seminar:**

*The M&E part could be improved, with presentations that go straight to the point using examples but not that much to not distract the attention of the public.*

---

-

*The time management, There were some activities that could be done in a more effective way (e.g. M&E part). Dr Nowak was very good but he could go more to the point.*

---

*We could have more time to practice Openforis; Many activities we made in a group did not receive any feedback; There were some part from M&E part that were very boring or difficult to understand.; Time management was not always good.*

---

-

*Long theoretical seminars of knowledge: Interludes with participatory learning & interpretation could be an option.*

---

*M&E*

---

*M&E boring*

---

*I think it was great that Meik was here as he knows so much!!! But at least for me it was a total overload on information and too theoretical. My brain could not process all the information.*

---

*The M&E did not include enough activities*

---

*Late closing times made me focus less after 5pm. Food was consistently more salty.*

---

*There was a little lack of efficiency in the M&E presentations.*

---

*less time for digital skills (excell and WebMo)*

---

*first days food; very tight schedule for the M&E part*

---

*The Monitoring & Evaluation was too theoretical, there is need to bring the audience in more.*

---

-

*Keeping in view the agenda of the workshop there was nothing that i did not like. (-: Good work!*

---

*Probably the lack of time for interactions, but that is always an issue everywhere so it's fine. There is always room for improvement.*

---

*Too philosophical, theoretical in some parts, which is also a strength...*

---

*Putting very interesting seminars as alternatives was a little difficult for me to choose one.*

---

---

---

---

**Comments on the workshop and suggestions for improvement of future workshops:**

*Everything was new for me. I would suggest to send the ppt present or readings before so maybe people without background on project management can follow easier the content.*

*Try to keep the presentations within the schedule so the presenters also focus on the main points and not in too much details that can be distractive. A person who remind them the time can be a solution.*

---

*Food can be improved! Organizers were very friendly. Some of the lectures were not understandable in M&E part*

---

*Could say that was very complete workshop, with new tools even for the ones that have already knowledge. Nevertheless very intensive but presentations as M&E could be improved.; Was difficult to decide from WebMo and indicators lecture (both should be taught). ; Prior to the workshop sent the project ideas in a page and then we could vote via e.g. online survey, choose the project we want to participate in. So some time can be safed before.*

---

*I liked in general the methods and techniques used in the presentations. The time was enough to accomplish the course structure.*

---

*Great out sourcing; Small audience for relevant session like pitching. You could look at having an outdoor pitch session at a University close by and prepare small incentives like gifts for participating pitchers or teams.*

---

*In E&M, I would suggest to put practical within the theoretical, rather than one full day of theory & next day practice.*

---

*M&E was explained in theoretical complicated way, was boring. WE can learn from pure instructor experience from past projects; Improve pure design seminar.*

---

*Maybe ask Meik to give less input of information and make it more practical. Also to focus more on monitoring and not just evaluation; to provide space for the participants to present their projects of their real life; I did not learn much from the Bridging Principle Sessions, as for me that was common sense.*

---

*Using a single train throughout will allow access to all lectures; It is possible with schedule.*

---

*Close early bz 5 pm daily and give homeworks possibly. Provide powerpoint presentations after close for each day. Makes learning easier.*

---

---

*If you are more strict with the time towards the groups by the end could be more free time.*

---

*More time, at least 2-weeks; More time for digital skills; specific projects by organizers instead of asking for project.*

---

-

---

*Over all, the workshop was good with its intention, however there is need to find ways to reduce the theoretical part.*

---

*It's such an insightful workshop which delivers technical tools and also motivation for the new start-ups.*

---

*The activities time could have been more but overall it was managed properly. I ENJOYED A LOTTT..... Thank you*

---

*Great atmosphere, great trainers, great group. Keep it up! Bravo Kajo & Team.*

---

*Also do the M&E on a made up project, to also practice M&E more.*

---

*Generally I liked how it was structured, and I appreciated the rich experience from facilitators ; Create more time for M&E group excercises.*

---

---