Project Management Summer Academy: Measuring What Works

21 responses

General Evaluation



Learning Modules Evaluation



Comments on Learning Modules Evaluation

11 responses

*I would recommend module 3 - Monitoring and Evaluation - to happen before module 2 - Monitoring - since we get a deeper and more solid understanding of project indicators types and importance in the first, making it easier to apply the concept when using the tools introduced in the later.
*Module 4.1 surprised me regarding the unexpected connection between design thinking and project management. My only suggestion is that the videos and material available on teachable specifically targets the activities we are later asked to engage in (persona and journey creation) once the time for this submodule is very short and Julia had no time to go deeper on the explanations during the live sessions. This way I believe students can be better prepared for the session, with targeted questions and some background for developing the proposed activities and to receive feedback.

I feel sorry because I could not attend to the sessions after the "holidays" after the m&e modules. In my personal case, it would have been better not having this pause in the middle of the course

I gained a lot, I wish there were more presenters from the global south and here their challenges working on projects

Lecturers Evaluation



Comments on Lecturers Evaluation

12 responses

Generally all the lectures were amazing.

All lectures had different style and approach. Stephen was really great and I liked that we all participated in peer reviews along with him. It was very interactive. Meik was very theoretical but I loved the information he shared. Probably if we could one by one assess our project with Meik like we did with Stephen, it would engage us more and help us learn even to a greater extent. It would also be better to apply Excell more to our projects.

Sonja seemed really great and professional but the topic was not so relevant to me. Probably it would be great to give choice (elective module). It would be great to distribute the workload and pay equal attention to different aspects of project management.

M&E was disappointing. Extremely theoretical

I believe that in this kind of new form of workshops "onine". the attitude of the moderators is crucial. I celebrate Kajo's attitude, as well as Waldo and Stephen.

Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation



Comments on Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation

11 responses

The hosts were amazing. The platforms were on point.

Everything was pretty comprehensive. Though our work in Excel and WebMo was very fragmentary while there was a lot of focus on the first week. There we had more assignments with online tools while with WebMo and Excel the assignments were secondary and also team work didn't really happen.

Before the beginning of the course it should be highly advised to have a mouse when dealing with Miro and all that the inserted content should be properly locked for avoiding components to move while we type or pointing something to others on the screen. I realized we spent a lot of our time during the breakdown sessions dealing with this.

it is really hard working and navigating on miro

Quick witted, enjoyable, committed of all Hosts with easy, clear and best learning systems.

What did you like the most?

21 responses

Openness and the willingness to provide solutions from the moderators. Plus the extra mentoring hours made a very big difference.

The facilitators were very friendly and supportive

Story telling and evaluation module

I appreciated the selection of lecturers invited to guide us. They acted respectfully, professionally, and with motivation for teaching their topics and to listen to our projects and ideas.

The intensity and the quality of the modules and the organization and communication and the whole social atmosphere.

The host are amazing. Thank you for sharing your knowledge. The planning module was very well planned and concise.

The sotry telling module!

What did not you like about the Academy?

21 responses

Some lectures seemed not prepared and could not relate their material to the current situations. They seemed de-touched from the audience.

The format of delivery

It was hard to get back to work after the break. Moreover, the break seemed too long. The team work was hampered by the break. Some assignment and directions during the break would help to stay in touch and to keep motivation.

It would be great to distribute the workload and pay equal attention to different aspects of project management.

I don´t know if it was me who did not pay attention when applying and after receiving the Workshop information, or if it was really not so clear the specific focus of the workshop and of the Weltweit´s activities towards the so-called grassroots projects. To be honest, I have heard and learned about this concept during these weeks with you (what is great!), but I don't remember this been mentioned before I had written the proposal in a completely different aspect. I felt sorry for the misunderstanding and for

Comments

16 responses

There is need for inclusiveness in the lecturers. Since the audience is multicultural, it would be nice to have the lecturers too reflecting the same. The training is for all participants it would be nice to give the pitching session more time to have all participants pitching their ideas as opposed to having a few.

Thanks for the opportunity of participating in the workshop and to get to know so many nice people, and interesting and practical new things/skills. Congratulations for the great initiative and for all the good intentions put on it! I wish you all the very best and lots of success!

More social and ice breaking games and meetings at the beginning to overcome the online dis-connectivity !

I apologize for not being able to participate the last two weeks. Thesis deadline got in the way. Nevertheless, thank you for recording the videos. I will definitely take a look at them to catch up with the lessons I missed.

More activtities between the theory (Like the sessions with Stephen)

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. Report Abuse - Terms of Service - Privacy Policy

Google Forms

Project Management Summer Academy: Measuring What Works

We can only improve the workshop if we know that we have reached our goals or not. Please help us to find out by answering the following questions.

General Evaluation

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
Through the seminar I have understood the logic and principles of the international Monitoring & Evaluation standards.	○	○	○	◉	○
I have learned tools and techniques and I am ready to start applying them in real projects.	○	○	○	◉	○
The seminar made me feel better prepared to plan and manage my own project.	○	○	○	○	◉

Learning Modules Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the learning modules we placed in this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the module's quality and 5 is the highest grade. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Introduction (Welcome package, Program details, Belbin test)	○	◉	○	○	○	○
Module 1 - Project Planning Tools (Ex: Problem Tree, Budget Planning, etc)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 2 - Monitoring (Excel Guide, Webmo, etc.)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 3 - Monitoring and Evaluation (Ex: The basics of Monitoring & Evaluation, Qualitative and Quantitative Methods, etc)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.1: Designer's Perspective (Julia Diehl)	○	◉	○	○	○	○
Module 4.2: Storytelling (Sonja Schwarz)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 4.3 -	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Storytelling (Dr. Stephen Wagner)

Comments on Learning Modules Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Lecturers Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the participants lecturers of this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the lecturer and 5 is the highest grade. We care about the clarity of the contents, the readiness of the lecturer to answer questions, respect of the participants and the usefulness of the shared contents. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module or, also, if you do not remember the lecturer.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Kajo Stelter (Module 1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dory Estrada (Module 1 and Proposal Writing in Module 3)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Robert Heine (Module 2)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Meik Nowak (Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Julia Diehl (Module 4.1)	○	○	◉	○	○	○
Sonja Schwarz (Module 4.2)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Dr. Stephen Wagner (Module 4.3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Comments on Lecturers Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Generally all the lectures were amazing.

Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the hosts roles and the Learning Platforms we installed for this Academy.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
The onboarding process of the participant was friendly and careful	○	○	○	◉	○
The hosts (Malu, Marie and Waldo) where there always open to help you and assist you with your questions	○	○	○	○	◉
Miro Board: The use of Miro board contributed to your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
E-mail: E-mail communications were clear and moderated	○	○	○	○	◉
Teachable: Teachable helped in your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Slack: Slack helped in the Academy communications, participants' networking and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Zoom: Zoom	○	○	○	○	◉

worked well and it was easy to use

Comments on Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your appreciations.

The hosts were amazing. The platforms were on point.

What did you like the most? *

Please write here you you liked the most of the learning experience.

Openness and the willingness to provide solutions from the moderators. Plus the extra mentoring hours made a very big difference.

What did not you like about the Academy? *

Some lectures seemed not prepared and could not relate their material to the current situations. They seemed de-touched from the audience.

Comments

Comments on the workshop and suggestions for improvement of future workshops

There is need for inclusiveness in the lecturers. Since the audience is multicultural, it would be nice to have the lecturers too reflecting the same. The training is for all participants it would be nice to give the pitching session more time to have all participants pitching their ideas as opposed to having a few.

This form was created inside 2811.

Google Forms

Project Management Summer Academy: Measuring What Works

We can only improve the workshop if we know that we have reached our goals or not. Please help us to find out by answering the following questions.

General Evaluation

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
Through the seminar I have understood the logic and principles of the international Monitoring & Evaluation standards.	○	○	○	○	◉
I have learned tools and techniques and I am ready to start applying them in real projects.	○	○	○	○	◉
The seminar made me feel better prepared to plan and manage my own project.	○	○	○	○	◉

Learning Modules Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the learning modules we placed in this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the module's quality and 5 is the highest grade. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Introduction (Welcome package, Program details, Belbin test)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 1 - Project Planning Tools (Ex: Problem Tree, Budget Planning, etc)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 2 - Monitoring (Excel Guide, Webmo, etc.)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 3 - Monitoring and Evaluation (Ex: The basics of Monitoring & Evaluation, Qualitative and Quantitative Methods, etc)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.1: Designer's Perspective (Julia Diehl)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.2: Storytelling (Sonja Schwarz)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.3 -	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Storytelling (Dr. Stephen Wagner)

Comments on Learning Modules Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Lecturers Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the participants lecturers of this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the lecturer and 5 is the highest grade. We care about the clarity of the contents, the readiness of the lecturer to answer questions, respect of the participants and the usefulness of the shared contents. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module or, also, if you do not remember the lecturer.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Kajo Stelter (Module 1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dory Estrada (Module 1 and Proposal Writing in Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Robert Heine (Module 2)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Meik Nowak (Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Julia Diehl (Module 4.1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Sonja Schwarz (Module 4.2)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dr. Stephen Wagner (Module 4.3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Comments on Lecturers Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the hosts roles and the Learning Platforms we installed for this Academy.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
The onboarding process of the participant was friendly and careful	○	○	○	○	◉
The hosts (Malu, Marie and Waldo) where there always open to help you and assist you with your questions	○	○	○	○	◉
Miro Board: The use of Miro board contributed to your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
E-mail: E-mail communications were clear and moderated	○	○	○	○	◉
Teachable: Teachable helped in your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Slack: Slack helped in the Academy communications, participants' networking and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Zoom: Zoom	○	○	○	○	◉

worked well and it was easy to use

Comments on Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your appreciations.

What did you like the most? *

Please write here you you liked the most of the learning experience.

The facilitators were very friendly and supportive

What did not you like about the Academy? *

The format of delivery

Comments

Comments on the workshop and suggestions for improvement of future workshops

This form was created inside 2811.

Google Forms

Project Management Summer Academy: Measuring What Works

We can only improve the workshop if we know that we have reached our goals or not. Please help us to find out by answering the following questions.

General Evaluation

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
Through the seminar I have understood the logic and principles of the international Monitoring & Evaluation standards.	○	○	○	◉	○
I have learned tools and techniques and I am ready to start applying them in real projects.	○	○	○	◉	○
The seminar made me feel better prepared to plan and manage my own project.	○	○	○	◉	○

Learning Modules Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the learning modules we placed in this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the module's quality and 5 is the highest grade. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Introduction (Welcome package, Program details, Belbin test)	○	○	◉	○	○	○
Module 1 - Project Planning Tools (Ex: Problem Tree, Budget Planning, etc)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 2 - Monitoring (Excel Guide, Webmo, etc.)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 3 - Monitoring and Evaluation (Ex: The basics of Monitoring & Evaluation, Qualitative and Quantitative Methods, etc)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 4.1: Designer's Perspective (Julia Diehl)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 4.2: Storytelling (Sonja Schwarz)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Module 4.3 -	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Storytelling (Dr. Stephen Wagner)

Comments on Learning Modules Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Lecturers Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the participants lecturers of this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the lecturer and 5 is the highest grade. We care about the clarity of the contents, the readiness of the lecturer to answer questions, respect of the participants and the usefulness of the shared contents. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module or, also, if you do not remember the lecturer.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Kajo Stelter (Module 1)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Dory Estrada (Module 1 and Proposal Writing in Module 3)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Robert Heine (Module 2)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Meik Nowak (Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Julia Diehl (Module 4.1)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Sonja Schwarz (Module 4.2)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Dr. Stephen Wagner (Module 4.3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Comments on Lecturers Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

All lectures had different style and approach. Stephen was really great and I liked that we all participated in peer reviews along with him. It was very interactive. Meik was very theoretical but I loved the information he shared. Probably if we could one by one assess our project with Meik like we did with Stephen, it would engage us more and help us learn even to a greater extent. It would also be better to apply Excell more to our projects.

Sonja seemed really great and professional but the topic was not so relevant to me. Probably it would be great to give choice (elective module). It would be great to distribute the workload and pay equal attention to different aspects of project management.

Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the hosts roles and the Learning Platforms we installed for this Academy.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
The onboarding process of the participant was friendly and careful	○	○	○	◉	○
The hosts (Malu, Marie and Waldo) where there always open to help you and assist you with your questions	○	○	○	◉	○
Miro Board: The use of Miro board contributed to your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	◉	○
E-mail: E-mail communications were clear and moderated	○	○	◉	○	○
Teachable: Teachable helped in your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	◉	○
Slack: Slack helped in the Academy communications, participants' networking and it was easy to use	○	○	◉	○	○
Zoom: Zoom	○	○	○	◉	○

worked well and it was easy to use

Comments on Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your appreciations.

Everything was pretty comprehensive. Though our work in Excel and WebMo was very fragmentary while there was a lot of focus on the first week. There we had more assignments with online tools while with WebMo and Excel the assignments were secondary and also team work didn't really happen.

What did you like the most? *

Please write here you you liked the most of the learning experience.

Story telling and evaluation module

What did not you like about the Academy? *

It was hard to get back to work after the break. Moreover, the break seemed too long. The team work was hampered by the break. Some assignment and directions during the break would help to stay in touch and to keep motivation.

It would be great to distribute the workload and pay equal attention to different aspects of project management.

Comments

Comments on the workshop and suggestions for improvement of future workshops

This form was created inside 2811.

Google Forms

Project Management Summer Academy: Measuring What Works

We can only improve the workshop if we know that we have reached our goals or not. Please help us to find out by answering the following questions.

General Evaluation

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
Through the seminar I have understood the logic and principles of the international Monitoring & Evaluation standards.	○	○	○	○	◉
I have learned tools and techniques and I am ready to start applying them in real projects.	○	○	○	○	◉
The seminar made me feel better prepared to plan and manage my own project.	○	○	○	○	◉

Learning Modules Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the learning modules we placed in this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the module's quality and 5 is the highest grade. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Introduction (Welcome package, Program details, Belbin test)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 1 - Project Planning Tools (Ex: Problem Tree, Budget Planning, etc)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 2 - Monitoring (Excel Guide, Webmo, etc.)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 3 - Monitoring and Evaluation (Ex: The basics of Monitoring & Evaluation, Qualitative and Quantitative Methods, etc)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.1: Designer's Perspective (Julia Diehl)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 4.2: Storytelling (Sonja Schwarz)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.3 -	○	○	○	○	○	◉

Storytelling (Dr. Stephen Wagner)

Comments on Learning Modules Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

*I would recommend module 3 - Monitoring and Evaluation - to happen before module 2 - Monitoring - since we get a deeper and more solid understanding of project indicators types and importance in the first, making it easier to apply the concept when using the tools introduced in the later.
*Module 4.1 surprised me regarding the unexpected connection between design thinking and project management. My only suggestion is that the videos and material available on teachable specifically targets the activities we are later asked to engage in (persona and journey creation) once the time for this submodule is very short and Julia had no time to go deeper on the explanations during the live sessions. This way I believe students can be better prepared for the session, with targeted questions and some background for developing the proposed activities and to receive feedback.

Lecturers Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the participants lecturers of this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the lecturer and 5 is the highest grade. We care about the clarity of the contents, the readiness of the lecturer to answer questions, respect of the participants and the usefulness of the shared contents. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module or, also, if you do not remember the lecturer.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Kajo Stelter (Module 1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dory Estrada (Module 1 and Proposal Writing in Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Robert Heine (Module 2)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Meik Nowak (Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Julia Diehl (Module 4.1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Sonja Schwarz (Module 4.2)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dr. Stephen Wagner (Module 4.3)	○	○	○	○	○	◉

Comments on Lecturers Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the hosts roles and the Learning Platforms we installed for this Academy.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
The onboarding process of the participant was friendly and careful	○	○	○	○	◉
The hosts (Malu, Marie and Waldo) where there always open to help you and assist you with your questions	○	○	○	○	◉
Miro Board: The use of Miro board contributed to your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	◉	○
E-mail: E-mail communications were clear and moderated	○	○	○	○	◉
Teachable: Teachable helped in your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Slack: Slack helped in the Academy communications, participants' networking and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Zoom: Zoom	○	○	○	○	◉

worked well and it was easy to use

Comments on Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your appreciations.

Before the beginning of the course it should be highly advised to have a mouse when dealing with Miro and all that the inserted content should be properly locked for avoiding components to move while we type or pointing something to others on the screen. I realized we spent a lot of our time during the breakdown sessions dealing with this.

What did you like the most? *

Please write here you you liked the most of the learning experience.

I appreciated the selection of lecturers invited to guide us. They acted respectfully, professionally, and with motivation for teaching their topics and to listen to our projects and ideas.

What did not you like about the Academy? *

I don´t know if it was me who did not pay attention when applying and after receiving the Workshop information, or if it was really not so clear the specific focus of the workshop and of the Weltweit´s activities towards the so-called grassroots projects. To be honest, I have heard and learned about this concept during these weeks with you (what is great!), but I don't remember this been mentioned before I had written the proposal in a completely different aspect. I felt sorry for the misunderstanding and for those who voted to be in the project, then discovered it was out of their interests and had to change groups. If the intention, for the next workshops, is to focus and attract those interested in this specific project type, I advise this to be clearly stated in the general invitation and in the invitation for proposals. Also interesting would be to have the possibility of a consultation time (20 min) for those interested in writing a project proposal where they could share their ideas and receive initial guidance from a project management point of view, and where ideas and perspectives can be aligned, guaranteeing the fluidity of the workshop. For sure I wouldn't give up on my participation even knowing the specific focus, but it would just feel better to be more connected with the context of the organization and with the experience of the colleagues.

Comments

Comments on the workshop and suggestions for improvement of future workshops

Thanks for the opportunity of participating in the workshop and to get to know so many nice people, and interesting and practical new things/skills. Congratulations for the great initiative and for all the good intentions put on it! I wish you all the very best and lots of success!

This form was created inside 2811.

Google Forms

Project Management Summer Academy: Measuring What Works

We can only improve the workshop if we know that we have reached our goals or not. Please help us to find out by answering the following questions.

General Evaluation

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
Through the seminar I have understood the logic and principles of the international Monitoring & Evaluation standards.	○	○	○	○	◉
I have learned tools and techniques and I am ready to start applying them in real projects.	○	○	○	◉	○
The seminar made me feel better prepared to plan and manage my own project.	○	○	○	◉	○

Learning Modules Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the learning modules we placed in this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the module's quality and 5 is the highest grade. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Introduction (Welcome package, Program details, Belbin test)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 1 - Project Planning Tools (Ex: Problem Tree, Budget Planning, etc)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 2 - Monitoring (Excel Guide, Webmo, etc.)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 3 - Monitoring and Evaluation (Ex: The basics of Monitoring & Evaluation, Qualitative and Quantitative Methods, etc)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 4.1: Designer's Perspective (Julia Diehl)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.2: Storytelling (Sonja Schwarz)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.3 -	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Storytelling (Dr. Stephen Wagner)

Comments on Learning Modules Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Lecturers Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the participants lecturers of this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the lecturer and 5 is the highest grade. We care about the clarity of the contents, the readiness of the lecturer to answer questions, respect of the participants and the usefulness of the shared contents. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module or, also, if you do not remember the lecturer.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Kajo Stelter (Module 1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dory Estrada (Module 1 and Proposal Writing in Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Robert Heine (Module 2)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Meik Nowak (Module 3)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Julia Diehl (Module 4.1)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Sonja Schwarz (Module 4.2)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Dr. Stephen Wagner (Module 4.3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Comments on Lecturers Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the hosts roles and the Learning Platforms we installed for this Academy.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
The onboarding process of the participant was friendly and careful	○	○	○	◉	○
The hosts (Malu, Marie and Waldo) where there always open to help you and assist you with your questions	○	○	○	◉	○
Miro Board: The use of Miro board contributed to your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
E-mail: E-mail communications were clear and moderated	○	○	○	○	◉
Teachable: Teachable helped in your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Slack: Slack helped in the Academy communications, participants' networking and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Zoom: Zoom	○	○	○	○	◉

worked well and it was easy to use

Comments on Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your appreciations.

What did you like the most? *

Please write here you you liked the most of the learning experience.

The intensity and the quality of the modules and the organization and communication and the whole social atmosphere.

What did not you like about the Academy? *

the M&E was more theatrical and i didn't feel like i have the tools to apply it .

Comments

Comments on the workshop and suggestions for improvement of future workshops

More social and ice breaking games and meetings at the beginning to overcome the online dis-connectivity !

This form was created inside 2811.

Google Forms

Project Management Summer Academy: Measuring What Works

We can only improve the workshop if we know that we have reached our goals or not. Please help us to find out by answering the following questions.

General Evaluation

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
Through the seminar I have understood the logic and principles of the international Monitoring & Evaluation standards.	○	◉	○	○	○
I have learned tools and techniques and I am ready to start applying them in real projects.	○	○	○	◉	○
The seminar made me feel better prepared to plan and manage my own project.	○	○	○	◉	○

Learning Modules Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the learning modules we placed in this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the module's quality and 5 is the highest grade. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Introduction (Welcome package, Program details, Belbin test)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 1 - Project Planning Tools (Ex: Problem Tree, Budget Planning, etc)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 2 - Monitoring (Excel Guide, Webmo, etc.)	○	◉	○	○	○	○
Module 3 - Monitoring and Evaluation (Ex: The basics of Monitoring & Evaluation, Qualitative and Quantitative Methods, etc)	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Module 4.1: Designer's Perspective (Julia Diehl)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.2: Storytelling (Sonja Schwarz)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.3 -	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Storytelling (Dr. Stephen Wagner)

Comments on Learning Modules Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

I feel sorry because I could not attend to the sessions after the "holidays" after the m&e modules. In my personal case, it would have been better not having this pause in the middle of the course

Lecturers Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the participants lecturers of this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the lecturer and 5 is the highest grade. We care about the clarity of the contents, the readiness of the lecturer to answer questions, respect of the participants and the usefulness of the shared contents. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module or, also, if you do not remember the lecturer.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Kajo Stelter (Module 1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dory Estrada (Module 1 and Proposal Writing in Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Robert Heine (Module 2)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Meik Nowak (Module 3)	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Julia Diehl (Module 4.1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Sonja Schwarz (Module 4.2)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dr. Stephen Wagner (Module 4.3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Comments on Lecturers Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

M&E was disappointing. Extremely theoretical

Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the hosts roles and the Learning Platforms we installed for this Academy.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
The onboarding process of the participant was friendly and careful	○	○	○	◉	○
The hosts (Malu, Marie and Waldo) where there always open to help you and assist you with your questions	○	○	○	○	◉
Miro Board: The use of Miro board contributed to your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
E-mail: E-mail communications were clear and moderated	○	○	○	○	◉
Teachable: Teachable helped in your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Slack: Slack helped in the Academy communications, participants' networking and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Zoom: Zoom	○	○	○	○	◉

worked well and it was easy to use

Comments on Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your appreciations.

What did you like the most? *

Please write here you you liked the most of the learning experience.

The host are amazing. Thank you for sharing your knowledge. The planning module was very well planned and concise.

What did not you like about the Academy? *

Working in groups by Zoom is very challenging. M&E module was disappointing

Comments

Comments on the workshop and suggestions for improvement of future workshops

I apologize for not being able to participate the last two weeks. Thesis deadline got in the way. Nevertheless, thank you for recording the videos. I will definitely take a look at them to catch up with the lessons I missed.

This form was created inside 2811.

Google Forms

Project Management Summer Academy: Measuring What Works

We can only improve the workshop if we know that we have reached our goals or not. Please help us to find out by answering the following questions.

General Evaluation

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
Through the seminar I have understood the logic and principles of the international Monitoring & Evaluation standards.	○	○	○	○	◉
I have learned tools and techniques and I am ready to start applying them in real projects.	○	○	○	○	◉
The seminar made me feel better prepared to plan and manage my own project.	○	○	○	○	◉

Learning Modules Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the learning modules we placed in this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the module's quality and 5 is the highest grade. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Introduction (Welcome package, Program details, Belbin test)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 1 - Project Planning Tools (Ex: Problem Tree, Budget Planning, etc)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 2 - Monitoring (Excel Guide, Webmo, etc.)	○	○	◉	○	○	○
Module 3 - Monitoring and Evaluation (Ex: The basics of Monitoring & Evaluation, Qualitative and Quantitative Methods, etc)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 4.1: Designer's Perspective (Julia Diehl)	○	◉	○	○	○	○
Module 4.2: Storytelling (Sonja Schwarz)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 4.3 -	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Storytelling (Dr. Stephen Wagner)

Comments on Learning Modules Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Lecturers Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the participants lecturers of this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the lecturer and 5 is the highest grade. We care about the clarity of the contents, the readiness of the lecturer to answer questions, respect of the participants and the usefulness of the shared contents. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module or, also, if you do not remember the lecturer.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Kajo Stelter (Module 1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dory Estrada (Module 1 and Proposal Writing in Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Robert Heine (Module 2)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Meik Nowak (Module 3)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Julia Diehl (Module 4.1)	○	◉	○	○	○	○
Sonja Schwarz (Module 4.2)	○	○	◉	○	○	○
Dr. Stephen Wagner (Module 4.3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Comments on Lecturers Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

I believe that in this kind of new form of workshops "onine". the attitude of the moderators is crucial. I celebrate Kajo's attitude, as well as Waldo and Stephen.

Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the hosts roles and the Learning Platforms we installed for this Academy.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
The onboarding process of the participant was friendly and careful	○	○	○	◉	○
The hosts (Malu, Marie and Waldo) where there always open to help you and assist you with your questions	○	○	○	○	◉
Miro Board: The use of Miro board contributed to your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
E-mail: E-mail communications were clear and moderated	○	○	○	◉	○
Teachable: Teachable helped in your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	◉	○
Slack: Slack helped in the Academy communications, participants' networking and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉

Zoom: Zoom worked well and it was easy to use	○	○	○	◉	○

Comments on Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your appreciations.

What did you like the most? *

Please write here you you liked the most of the learning experience.

The sotry telling module!

What did not you like about the Academy? *

No enough activities and practical things

Comments

Comments on the workshop and suggestions for improvement of future workshops

More activtities between the theory (Like the sessions with Stephen)

This form was created inside 2811.

Google Forms

Project Management Summer Academy: Measuring What Works

We can only improve the workshop if we know that we have reached our goals or not. Please help us to find out by answering the following questions.

General Evaluation

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
Through the seminar I have understood the logic and principles of the international Monitoring & Evaluation standards.	○	○	○	◉	○
I have learned tools and techniques and I am ready to start applying them in real projects.	○	○	○	○	◉
The seminar made me feel better prepared to plan and manage my own project.	○	○	○	○	◉

Learning Modules Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the learning modules we placed in this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the module's quality and 5 is the highest grade. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Introduction (Welcome package, Program details, Belbin test)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Module 1 - Project Planning Tools (Ex: Problem Tree, Budget Planning, etc)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Module 2 - Monitoring (Excel Guide, Webmo, etc.)	○	○	◉	○	○	○
Module 3 - Monitoring and Evaluation (Ex: The basics of Monitoring & Evaluation, Qualitative and Quantitative Methods, etc)	○	○	◉	○	○	○
Module 4.1: Designer's Perspective (Julia Diehl)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 4.2: Storytelling (Sonja Schwarz)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Module 4.3 -	○	○	○	○	○	◉

Storytelling (Dr. Stephen Wagner)

Comments on Learning Modules Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

I gained a lot, I wish there were more presenters from the global south and here their challenges working on projects

Lecturers Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the participants lecturers of this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the lecturer and 5 is the highest grade. We care about the clarity of the contents, the readiness of the lecturer to answer questions, respect of the participants and the usefulness of the shared contents. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module or, also, if you do not remember the lecturer.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Kajo Stelter (Module 1)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Dory Estrada (Module 1 and Proposal Writing in Module 3)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Robert Heine (Module 2)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Meik Nowak (Module 3)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Julia Diehl (Module 4.1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Sonja Schwarz (Module 4.2)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Dr. Stephen Wagner (Module 4.3)	○	○	○	○	○	◉

Comments on Lecturers Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

i enjoyed the enthusiasm of all the presentors

Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the hosts roles and the Learning Platforms we installed for this Academy.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
The onboarding process of the participant was friendly and careful	○	○	○	○	◉
The hosts (Malu, Marie and Waldo) where there always open to help you and assist you with your questions	○	○	○	○	◉
Miro Board: The use of Miro board contributed to your learning process and it was easy to use	○	◉	○	○	○
E-mail: E-mail communications were clear and moderated	○	○	○	○	◉
Teachable: Teachable helped in your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Slack: Slack helped in the Academy communications, participants' networking and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Zoom: Zoom	○	○	○	○	◉

worked well and it was easy to use

Comments on Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your appreciations.

it is really hard working and navigating on miro

What did you like the most? *

Please write here you you liked the most of the learning experience.

the enthusiasm and support of all presenters esp. Kajo and Dr. Stephen

What did not you like about the Academy? *

Time especially for group work was not enough

Comments

Comments on the workshop and suggestions for improvement of future workshops

Topic on public speaking should be included.

This form was created inside 2811.

Google Forms

Project Management Summer Academy: Measuring What Works

We can only improve the workshop if we know that we have reached our goals or not. Please help us to find out by answering the following questions.

General Evaluation

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
Through the seminar I have understood the logic and principles of the international Monitoring & Evaluation standards.	○	○	○	○	◉
I have learned tools and techniques and I am ready to start applying them in real projects.	○	○	○	○	◉
The seminar made me feel better prepared to plan and manage my own project.	○	○	○	○	◉

Learning Modules Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the learning modules we placed in this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the module's quality and 5 is the highest grade. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Introduction (Welcome package, Program details, Belbin test)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 1 - Project Planning Tools (Ex: Problem Tree, Budget Planning, etc)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Module 2 - Monitoring (Excel Guide, Webmo, etc.)	○	○	◉	○	○	○
Module 3 - Monitoring and Evaluation (Ex: The basics of Monitoring & Evaluation, Qualitative and Quantitative Methods, etc)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Module 4.1: Designer's Perspective (Julia Diehl)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.2: Storytelling (Sonja Schwarz)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 4.3 -	○	○	○	◉	○	○

Storytelling (Dr. Stephen Wagner)

Comments on Learning Modules Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Lecturers Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the participants lecturers of this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the lecturer and 5 is the highest grade. We care about the clarity of the contents, the readiness of the lecturer to answer questions, respect of the participants and the usefulness of the shared contents. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module or, also, if you do not remember the lecturer.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Kajo Stelter (Module 1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dory Estrada (Module 1 and Proposal Writing in Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Robert Heine (Module 2)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Meik Nowak (Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Julia Diehl (Module 4.1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Sonja Schwarz (Module 4.2)	○	○	◉	○	○	○
Dr. Stephen Wagner (Module 4.3)	○	○	◉	○	○	○

Comments on Lecturers Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the hosts roles and the Learning Platforms we installed for this Academy.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
The onboarding process of the participant was friendly and careful	○	○	○	○	◉
The hosts (Malu, Marie and Waldo) where there always open to help you and assist you with your questions	○	○	○	○	◉
Miro Board: The use of Miro board contributed to your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
E-mail: E-mail communications were clear and moderated	○	○	○	○	◉
Teachable: Teachable helped in your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Slack: Slack helped in the Academy communications, participants' networking and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Zoom: Zoom	○	○	○	○	◉

worked well and it was easy to use

Comments on Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your appreciations.

What did you like the most? *

Please write here you you liked the most of the learning experience.

The way of the classes. How they were organized and prompt. The way all participant had a strong feeling to learn and to keep sharing personal knowledge.

What did not you like about the Academy? *

The time frame and the scheduled modules.

Comments

Comments on the workshop and suggestions for improvement of future workshops

This form was created inside 2811.

Google Forms

Project Management Summer Academy: Measuring What Works

We can only improve the workshop if we know that we have reached our goals or not. Please help us to find out by answering the following questions.

General Evaluation

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
Through the seminar I have understood the logic and principles of the international Monitoring & Evaluation standards.	○	○	○	○	◉
I have learned tools and techniques and I am ready to start applying them in real projects.	○	○	○	◉	○
The seminar made me feel better prepared to plan and manage my own project.	○	○	○	○	◉

Learning Modules Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the learning modules we placed in this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the module's quality and 5 is the highest grade. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Introduction (Welcome package, Program details, Belbin test)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 1 - Project Planning Tools (Ex: Problem Tree, Budget Planning, etc)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 2 - Monitoring (Excel Guide, Webmo, etc.)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 3 - Monitoring and Evaluation (Ex: The basics of Monitoring & Evaluation, Qualitative and Quantitative Methods, etc)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.1: Designer's Perspective (Julia Diehl)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.2: Storytelling (Sonja Schwarz)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Module 4.3 -	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Storytelling (Dr. Stephen Wagner)

Comments on Learning Modules Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Too interesting and well explanation with real justification! Well done and Keep it up! But there was my own problem with bad internet access and due that some confusions made with me (Excel Guide, WebMo,....) and for some others.

Lecturers Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the participants lecturers of this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the lecturer and 5 is the highest grade. We care about the clarity of the contents, the readiness of the lecturer to answer questions, respect of the participants and the usefulness of the shared contents. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module or, also, if you do not remember the lecturer.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Kajo Stelter (Module 1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dory Estrada (Module 1 and Proposal Writing in Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Robert Heine (Module 2)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Meik Nowak (Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Julia Diehl (Module 4.1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Sonja Schwarz (Module 4.2)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Dr. Stephen Wagner (Module 4.3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Comments on Lecturers Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Well trained and committed with confident, persuasive justification for all raised questions. Rather, for some sessions, I was not with Robert Heine and Sonja Schwarz with my bad internet connection! Many Thanks!!!

Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the hosts roles and the Learning Platforms we installed for this Academy.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
The onboarding process of the participant was friendly and careful	○	○	○	○	◉
The hosts (Malu, Marie and Waldo) where there always open to help you and assist you with your questions	○	○	○	○	◉
Miro Board: The use of Miro board contributed to your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
E-mail: E-mail communications were clear and moderated	○	○	○	○	◉
Teachable: Teachable helped in your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Slack: Slack helped in the Academy communications, participants' networking and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉

Zoom: Zoom worked well and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉

Comments on Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your appreciations.

Quick witted, enjoyable, committed of all Hosts with easy, clear and best learning systems.

What did you like the most? *

Please write here you you liked the most of the learning experience.

Brain storming sessions, all leaning templates and/or systems, main and break out sessions, quick hosts responses, finding best options for any problems, etc. were experiences which I intensively like!!!

What did not you like about the Academy? *

Starting from me, there was straight attendance of some participants and sometimes insufficient time allowance for break out sessions!

Comments

Comments on the workshop and suggestions for improvement of future workshops

It's better to adjust short term face-to-face course trainings if pandemic of Covid-19 will be controlled through out the world, don't miss communicating with each other by any communication systems,....! Again, many thanks for Project Management Summer Academy Evaluation Team! See you forward and till Stay Safe!

This form was created inside 2811.

Google Forms

Project Management Summer Academy: Measuring What Works

We can only improve the workshop if we know that we have reached our goals or not. Please help us to find out by answering the following questions.

General Evaluation

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
Through the seminar I have understood the logic and principles of the international Monitoring & Evaluation standards.	○	○	○	○	◉
I have learned tools and techniques and I am ready to start applying them in real projects.	○	○	○	○	◉
The seminar made me feel better prepared to plan and manage my own project.	○	○	○	○	◉

Learning Modules Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the learning modules we placed in this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the module's quality and 5 is the highest grade. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Introduction (Welcome package, Program details, Belbin test)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 1 - Project Planning Tools (Ex: Problem Tree, Budget Planning, etc)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 2 - Monitoring (Excel Guide, Webmo, etc.)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 3 - Monitoring and Evaluation (Ex: The basics of Monitoring & Evaluation, Qualitative and Quantitative Methods, etc)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.1: Designer's Perspective (Julia Diehl)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.2: Storytelling (Sonja Schwarz)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.3 -	○	○	○	○	○	◉

Storytelling (Dr. Stephen Wagner)

Comments on Learning Modules Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Lecturers Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the participants lecturers of this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the lecturer and 5 is the highest grade. We care about the clarity of the contents, the readiness of the lecturer to answer questions, respect of the participants and the usefulness of the shared contents. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module or, also, if you do not remember the lecturer.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Kajo Stelter (Module 1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dory Estrada (Module 1 and Proposal Writing in Module 3)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Robert Heine (Module 2)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Meik Nowak (Module 3)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Julia Diehl (Module 4.1)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Sonja Schwarz (Module 4.2)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Dr. Stephen Wagner (Module 4.3)	○	○	○	○	○	◉

Comments on Lecturers Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the hosts roles and the Learning Platforms we installed for this Academy.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
The onboarding process of the participant was friendly and careful	○	○	○	○	◉
The hosts (Malu, Marie and Waldo) where there always open to help you and assist you with your questions	○	○	○	○	◉
Miro Board: The use of Miro board contributed to your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
E-mail: E-mail communications were clear and moderated	○	○	○	○	◉
Teachable: Teachable helped in your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Slack: Slack helped in the Academy communications, participants' networking and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Zoom: Zoom	○	○	○	○	◉

worked well and it was easy to use

Comments on Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your appreciations.

What did you like the most? *

Please write here you you liked the most of the learning experience.

The teaching environment was so amazing

What did not you like about the Academy? *

Organization

Comments

Comments on the workshop and suggestions for improvement of future workshops

This form was created inside 2811.

Google Forms

Project Management Summer Academy: Measuring What Works

We can only improve the workshop if we know that we have reached our goals or not. Please help us to find out by answering the following questions.

General Evaluation

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
Through the seminar I have understood the logic and principles of the international Monitoring & Evaluation standards.	○	○	○	◉	○
I have learned tools and techniques and I am ready to start applying them in real projects.	○	○	○	◉	○
The seminar made me feel better prepared to plan and manage my own project.	○	○	○	○	◉

Learning Modules Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the learning modules we placed in this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the module's quality and 5 is the highest grade. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Introduction (Welcome package, Program details, Belbin test)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 1 - Project Planning Tools (Ex: Problem Tree, Budget Planning, etc)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 2 - Monitoring (Excel Guide, Webmo, etc.)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 3 - Monitoring and Evaluation (Ex: The basics of Monitoring & Evaluation, Qualitative and Quantitative Methods, etc)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.1: Designer's Perspective (Julia Diehl)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 4.2: Storytelling (Sonja Schwarz)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.3 -	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Storytelling (Dr. Stephen Wagner)

Comments on Learning Modules Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Lecturers Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the participants lecturers of this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the lecturer and 5 is the highest grade. We care about the clarity of the contents, the readiness of the lecturer to answer questions, respect of the participants and the usefulness of the shared contents. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module or, also, if you do not remember the lecturer.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Kajo Stelter (Module 1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dory Estrada (Module 1 and Proposal Writing in Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Robert Heine (Module 2)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Meik Nowak (Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Julia Diehl (Module 4.1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Sonja Schwarz (Module 4.2)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dr. Stephen Wagner (Module 4.3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Comments on Lecturers Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

I am really very grateful to all of them for willing to share their skills and experience with us despite their busy schedules.

Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the hosts roles and the Learning Platforms we installed for this Academy.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
The onboarding process of the participant was friendly and careful	○	○	○	◉	○
The hosts (Malu, Marie and Waldo) where there always open to help you and assist you with your questions	○	○	○	◉	○
Miro Board: The use of Miro board contributed to your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	◉	○
E-mail: E-mail communications were clear and moderated	○	○	○	◉	○
Teachable: Teachable helped in your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	◉	○
Slack: Slack helped in the Academy communications, participants' networking and it was easy to use	○	○	○	◉	○

Zoom: Zoom worked well and it was easy to use	○	○	○	◉	○

Comments on Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your appreciations.

I really appreciate the patience of the hosts, as not all participants come from the same region and do not have the same skills and knowledge in dealing with different online learning platforms.

What did you like the most? *

Please write here you you liked the most of the learning experience.

the content of the material and the jokes they used during the lectures

What did not you like about the Academy? *

nothing

Comments

Comments on the workshop and suggestions for improvement of future workshops

The time I have been through is really fascinating, and the workshops wake me up and help me get closer to my dreams. I have learned a lot and the skills and knowledge I have taken away from the workshop would be interesting to equip and build my future career. I am happy to be part of this workshop.

This form was created inside 2811.

Google Forms

Project Management Summer Academy: Measuring What Works

We can only improve the workshop if we know that we have reached our goals or not. Please help us to find out by answering the following questions.

General Evaluation

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
Through the seminar I have understood the logic and principles of the international Monitoring & Evaluation standards.	○	○	○	○	◉
I have learned tools and techniques and I am ready to start applying them in real projects.	○	○	○	○	◉
The seminar made me feel better prepared to plan and manage my own project.	○	○	○	○	◉

Learning Modules Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the learning modules we placed in this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the module's quality and 5 is the highest grade. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Introduction (Welcome package, Program details, Belbin test)	○	○	◉	○	○	○
Module 1 - Project Planning Tools (Ex: Problem Tree, Budget Planning, etc)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 2 - Monitoring (Excel Guide, Webmo, etc.)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 3 - Monitoring and Evaluation (Ex: The basics of Monitoring & Evaluation, Qualitative and Quantitative Methods, etc)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 4.1: Designer's Perspective (Julia Diehl)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.2: Storytelling (Sonja Schwarz)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.3 -	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Storytelling (Dr. Stephen Wagner)

Comments on Learning Modules Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Since it is a virtual workshop , it would have been nice to organize some activity for participants to get to know each other better and build team spirit at the beginning of the training. Normally in a face to face workshops participants have a lot of time to connect and learn from each other during meal time, evening, break times ... but this time, we didn't have a chance to do that and i believe that contributed for lack of good team work .

Lecturers Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the participants lecturers of this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the lecturer and 5 is the highest grade. We care about the clarity of the contents, the readiness of the lecturer to answer questions, respect of the participants and the usefulness of the shared contents. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module or, also, if you do not remember the lecturer.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Kajo Stelter (Module 1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dory Estrada (Module 1 and Proposal Writing in Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Robert Heine (Module 2)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Meik Nowak (Module 3)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Julia Diehl (Module 4.1)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Sonja Schwarz (Module 4.2)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dr. Stephen Wagner (Module 4.3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Comments on Lecturers Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

The lectures with less grade needed more participatory approach to keep all group members in check .

Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the hosts roles and the Learning Platforms we installed for this Academy.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
The onboarding process of the participant was friendly and careful	○	○	○	○	◉
The hosts (Malu, Marie and Waldo) where there always open to help you and assist you with your questions	○	○	○	○	◉
Miro Board: The use of Miro board contributed to your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
E-mail: E-mail communications were clear and moderated	○	○	○	○	◉
Teachable: Teachable helped in your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Slack: Slack helped in the Academy communications, participants' networking and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Zoom: Zoom	○	○	○	○	◉

worked well and it was easy to use

Comments on Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your appreciations.

What did you like the most? *

Please write here you you liked the most of the learning experience.

This was my first time on a virtual workshop and i had an amazing experience. The hosts were perfect , they kept everyone motivated and created a very good learning environment. The lecturers were also very good and i learned several necessary inputs for project planning, monitoring and story telling. I loved the diversity of the participants and learned from their past experiences as well.

What did not you like about the Academy? *

The way some participants dropped out or abandoned their project. I hoped to see the contribution of everyone for the completion of their project but except 1/2 groups the content became more personalized than group project.

Comments

Comments on the workshop and suggestions for improvement of future workshops

I look forward to attending more workshops from weltweit and would like to give my heartfelt felt gratitude to all the organizers.

This form was created inside 2811.

Google Forms

Project Management Summer Academy: Measuring What Works

We can only improve the workshop if we know that we have reached our goals or not. Please help us to find out by answering the following questions.

General Evaluation

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
Through the seminar I have understood the logic and principles of the international Monitoring & Evaluation standards.	○	○	○	○	◉
I have learned tools and techniques and I am ready to start applying them in real projects.	○	○	○	○	◉
The seminar made me feel better prepared to plan and manage my own project.	○	○	○	◉	○

Learning Modules Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the learning modules we placed in this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the module's quality and 5 is the highest grade. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Introduction (Welcome package, Program details, Belbin test)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 1 - Project Planning Tools (Ex: Problem Tree, Budget Planning, etc)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 2 - Monitoring (Excel Guide, Webmo, etc.)	○	○	◉	○	○	○
Module 3 - Monitoring and Evaluation (Ex: The basics of Monitoring & Evaluation, Qualitative and Quantitative Methods, etc)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 4.1: Designer's Perspective (Julia Diehl)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.2: Storytelling (Sonja Schwarz)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.3 -	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Storytelling (Dr. Stephen Wagner)

Comments on Learning Modules Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

The module 2, excel guide did not fit my expectations. Even though the learning approach was based on practice, these has not substantially added something to my knowledge as there are many thing we can do with excel when it comes to monitoring and evaluation. Moreover, the introduction to webmo will not be useful if we cannot at the moment afford the software. My suggestion for the next summer is to go deeply with excel training as it is an open software for everybody

Lecturers Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the participants lecturers of this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the lecturer and 5 is the highest grade. We care about the clarity of the contents, the readiness of the lecturer to answer questions, respect of the participants and the usefulness of the shared contents. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module or, also, if you do not remember the lecturer.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Kajo Stelter (Module 1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dory Estrada (Module 1 and Proposal Writing in Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Robert Heine (Module 2)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Meik Nowak (Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Julia Diehl (Module 4.1)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Sonja Schwarz (Module 4.2)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dr. Stephen Wagner (Module 4.3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Comments on Lecturers Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the hosts roles and the Learning Platforms we installed for this Academy.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
The onboarding process of the participant was friendly and careful	○	○	○	◉	○
The hosts (Malu, Marie and Waldo) where there always open to help you and assist you with your questions	○	○	○	○	◉
Miro Board: The use of Miro board contributed to your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	◉	○
E-mail: E-mail communications were clear and moderated	○	○	○	○	◉
Teachable: Teachable helped in your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Slack: Slack helped in the Academy communications, participants' networking and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Zoom: Zoom	○	○	○	○	◉

worked well and it was easy to use

Comments on Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your appreciations.

What did you like the most? *

Please write here you you liked the most of the learning experience.

The commitment of the organizers, the follow up after each module, the life experiences sharing

What did not you like about the Academy? *

At the start it was kind boring, but the more we were involved in the modules, the more it was interesting

Comments

Comments on the workshop and suggestions for improvement of future workshops

Good Summer Academy!

This form was created inside 2811.

Google Forms

Project Management Summer Academy: Measuring What Works

We can only improve the workshop if we know that we have reached our goals or not. Please help us to find out by answering the following questions.

General Evaluation

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
Through the seminar I have understood the logic and principles of the international Monitoring & Evaluation standards.	○	○	○	◉	○
I have learned tools and techniques and I am ready to start applying them in real projects.	○	○	○	◉	○
The seminar made me feel better prepared to plan and manage my own project.	○	○	○	○	◉

Learning Modules Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the learning modules we placed in this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the module's quality and 5 is the highest grade. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Introduction (Welcome package, Program details, Belbin test)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 1 - Project Planning Tools (Ex: Problem Tree, Budget Planning, etc)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Module 2 - Monitoring (Excel Guide, Webmo, etc.)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 3 - Monitoring and Evaluation (Ex: The basics of Monitoring & Evaluation, Qualitative and Quantitative Methods, etc)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.1: Designer's Perspective (Julia Diehl)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Module 4.2: Storytelling (Sonja Schwarz)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.3 -	○	○	○	○	○	◉

Storytelling (Dr. Stephen Wagner)

Comments on Learning Modules Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

The program is well structured with a critical mix of design and project technicalities. Some introduction to aspects of business/start up planning (e.g. desirability, feasibility and viability matrix) would've been even more informational to fit in the program. But I understand that it is already pretty intense for the existing time period.

Lecturers Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the participants lecturers of this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the lecturer and 5 is the highest grade. We care about the clarity of the contents, the readiness of the lecturer to answer questions, respect of the participants and the usefulness of the shared contents. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module or, also, if you do not remember the lecturer.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Kajo Stelter (Module 1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dory Estrada (Module 1 and Proposal Writing in Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Robert Heine (Module 2)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Meik Nowak (Module 3)	○	○	◉	○	○	○
Julia Diehl (Module 4.1)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Sonja Schwarz (Module 4.2)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Dr. Stephen Wagner (Module 4.3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Comments on Lecturers Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the hosts roles and the Learning Platforms we installed for this Academy.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
The onboarding process of the participant was friendly and careful	○	○	○	◉	○
The hosts (Malu, Marie and Waldo) where there always open to help you and assist you with your questions	○	○	○	○	◉
Miro Board: The use of Miro board contributed to your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
E-mail: E-mail communications were clear and moderated	○	○	◉	○	○
Teachable: Teachable helped in your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	◉	○
Slack: Slack helped in the Academy communications, participants' networking and it was easy to use	○	○	○	◉	○
Zoom: Zoom	○	○	○	◉	○

worked well and it was easy to use

Comments on Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your appreciations.

The initial outburst of zoom meeting links was discouraging for certain participants. However, that was soon remedied. Thank you.

What did you like the most? *

Please write here you you liked the most of the learning experience.

Dear Weltweit team, I had a wonderful learning experience overall. I have been cheerfully and powerfully inducted into the path of start up founders thanks to the insight, expertise and simplicity of the teaching in this workshop. I wish you all the very best! Cheers, Meenakshi

What did not you like about the Academy? *

Dear Weltweit team, I just wish we could see you all in real life at some point ! Hehe. But really, I have given some pointers earlier that maybe added. Cheers. Meenakshi

Comments

Comments on the workshop and suggestions for improvement of future workshops

More time to get to know other participants in our own and other teams. Having more time for informal meetings in smaller groups (apart from existing working groups). I appreciated the autumn break in between. I was unwell in between before the break however, that did not matter much when I was able to come back online and Work. This flexibility is also appreciated.

This form was created inside 2811.

Google Forms

Project Management Summer Academy: Measuring What Works

We can only improve the workshop if we know that we have reached our goals or not. Please help us to find out by answering the following questions.

General Evaluation

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
Through the seminar I have understood the logic and principles of the international Monitoring & Evaluation standards.	○	○	○	○	◉
I have learned tools and techniques and I am ready to start applying them in real projects.	○	○	○	○	◉
The seminar made me feel better prepared to plan and manage my own project.	○	○	○	○	◉

Learning Modules Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the learning modules we placed in this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the module's quality and 5 is the highest grade. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Introduction (Welcome package, Program details, Belbin test)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 1 - Project Planning Tools (Ex: Problem Tree, Budget Planning, etc)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 2 - Monitoring (Excel Guide, Webmo, etc.)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 3 - Monitoring and Evaluation (Ex: The basics of Monitoring & Evaluation, Qualitative and Quantitative Methods, etc)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.1: Designer's Perspective (Julia Diehl)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.2: Storytelling (Sonja Schwarz)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.3 -	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Storytelling (Dr. Stephen Wagner)

Comments on Learning Modules Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

They were very useful

Lecturers Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the participants lecturers of this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the lecturer and 5 is the highest grade. We care about the clarity of the contents, the readiness of the lecturer to answer questions, respect of the participants and the usefulness of the shared contents. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module or, also, if you do not remember the lecturer.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Kajo Stelter (Module 1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dory Estrada (Module 1 and Proposal Writing in Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Robert Heine (Module 2)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Meik Nowak (Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Julia Diehl (Module 4.1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Sonja Schwarz (Module 4.2)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dr. Stephen Wagner (Module 4.3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Comments on Lecturers Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the hosts roles and the Learning Platforms we installed for this Academy.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
The onboarding process of the participant was friendly and careful	○	○	○	○	◉
The hosts (Malu, Marie and Waldo) where there always open to help you and assist you with your questions	○	○	○	○	◉
Miro Board: The use of Miro board contributed to your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
E-mail: E-mail communications were clear and moderated	○	○	○	○	◉
Teachable: Teachable helped in your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Slack: Slack helped in the Academy communications, participants' networking and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Zoom: Zoom	○	○	○	○	◉

worked well and it was easy to use

Comments on Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your appreciations.

What did you like the most? *

Please write here you you liked the most of the learning experience.

I liked the delivery tools such as teachable, Miro

What did not you like about the Academy? *

Nothing

Comments

Comments on the workshop and suggestions for improvement of future workshops

This form was created inside 2811.

Google Forms

Project Management Summer Academy: Measuring What Works

We can only improve the workshop if we know that we have reached our goals or not. Please help us to find out by answering the following questions.

General Evaluation

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
Through the seminar I have understood the logic and principles of the international Monitoring & Evaluation standards.	○	○	○	○	◉
I have learned tools and techniques and I am ready to start applying them in real projects.	○	○	○	○	◉
The seminar made me feel better prepared to plan and manage my own project.	○	○	○	○	◉

Learning Modules Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the learning modules we placed in this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the module's quality and 5 is the highest grade. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Introduction (Welcome package, Program details, Belbin test)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 1 - Project Planning Tools (Ex: Problem Tree, Budget Planning, etc)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 2 - Monitoring (Excel Guide, Webmo, etc.)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 3 - Monitoring and Evaluation (Ex: The basics of Monitoring & Evaluation, Qualitative and Quantitative Methods, etc)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.1: Designer's Perspective (Julia Diehl)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.2: Storytelling (Sonja Schwarz)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.3 -	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Storytelling (Dr. Stephen Wagner)

Comments on Learning Modules Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Everything is perfect though its virtual. Love to attend the workshop again in person

Lecturers Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the participants lecturers of this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the lecturer and 5 is the highest grade. We care about the clarity of the contents, the readiness of the lecturer to answer questions, respect of the participants and the usefulness of the shared contents. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module or, also, if you do not remember the lecturer.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Kajo Stelter (Module 1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dory Estrada (Module 1 and Proposal Writing in Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Robert Heine (Module 2)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Meik Nowak (Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Julia Diehl (Module 4.1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Sonja Schwarz (Module 4.2)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dr. Stephen Wagner (Module 4.3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Comments on Lecturers Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

All are perfect with excellent knowledge

Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the hosts roles and the Learning Platforms we installed for this Academy.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
The onboarding process of the participant was friendly and careful	○	○	○	○	◉
The hosts (Malu, Marie and Waldo) where there always open to help you and assist you with your questions	○	○	○	○	◉
Miro Board: The use of Miro board contributed to your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
E-mail: E-mail communications were clear and moderated	○	○	○	○	◉
Teachable: Teachable helped in your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Slack: Slack helped in the Academy communications, participants' networking and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Zoom: Zoom	○	○	○	○	◉

worked well and it was easy to use

Comments on Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your appreciations.

All perfect

What did you like the most? *

Please write here you you liked the most of the learning experience.

Group Exercises. Great opportunity for us to develop a skeleton of our own project proposal

What did not you like about the Academy? *

none

Comments

Comments on the workshop and suggestions for improvement of future workshops

Keep up the good work and same spirit

This form was created inside 2811.

Google Forms

Project Management Summer Academy: Measuring What Works

We can only improve the workshop if we know that we have reached our goals or not. Please help us to find out by answering the following questions.

General Evaluation

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
Through the seminar I have understood the logic and principles of the international Monitoring & Evaluation standards.	○	○	○	○	◉
I have learned tools and techniques and I am ready to start applying them in real projects.	○	○	○	○	◉
The seminar made me feel better prepared to plan and manage my own project.	○	○	○	○	◉

Learning Modules Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the learning modules we placed in this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the module's quality and 5 is the highest grade. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Introduction (Welcome package, Program details, Belbin test)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 1 - Project Planning Tools (Ex: Problem Tree, Budget Planning, etc)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 2 - Monitoring (Excel Guide, Webmo, etc.)	○	◉	○	○	○	○
Module 3 - Monitoring and Evaluation (Ex: The basics of Monitoring & Evaluation, Qualitative and Quantitative Methods, etc)	○	○	◉	○	○	○
Module 4.1: Designer's Perspective (Julia Diehl)	○	◉	○	○	○	○
Module 4.2: Storytelling (Sonja Schwarz)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 4.3 -	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Storytelling (Dr. Stephen Wagner)

Comments on Learning Modules Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Some classes were really dense. More activities between the theory.

Lecturers Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the participants lecturers of this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the lecturer and 5 is the highest grade. We care about the clarity of the contents, the readiness of the lecturer to answer questions, respect of the participants and the usefulness of the shared contents. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module or, also, if you do not remember the lecturer.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Kajo Stelter (Module 1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dory Estrada (Module 1 and Proposal Writing in Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Robert Heine (Module 2)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Meik Nowak (Module 3)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Julia Diehl (Module 4.1)	○	◉	○	○	○	○
Sonja Schwarz (Module 4.2)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Dr. Stephen Wagner (Module 4.3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Comments on Lecturers Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the hosts roles and the Learning Platforms we installed for this Academy.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
The onboarding process of the participant was friendly and careful	○	○	○	◉	○
The hosts (Malu, Marie and Waldo) where there always open to help you and assist you with your questions	○	○	○	○	◉
Miro Board: The use of Miro board contributed to your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	◉	○	○
E-mail: E-mail communications were clear and moderated	○	○	○	◉	○
Teachable: Teachable helped in your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	◉	○
Slack: Slack helped in the Academy communications, participants' networking and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Zoom: Zoom	○	○	○	◉	○

worked well and it was easy to use

Comments on Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your appreciations.

Always friendly

What did you like the most? *

Please write here you you liked the most of the learning experience.

The module about story telling. The Stephen's classes were the best. Also Dory's classes were awesome, and the way she shared her expertise.

What did not you like about the Academy? *

Some teacher were too serious., no dynamic.

Comments

Comments on the workshop and suggestions for improvement of future workshops

More pratical activities. Games.

This form was created inside 2811.

Google Forms

Project Management Summer Academy: Measuring What Works

We can only improve the workshop if we know that we have reached our goals or not. Please help us to find out by answering the following questions.

General Evaluation

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
Through the seminar I have understood the logic and principles of the international Monitoring & Evaluation standards.	○	○	◉	○	○
I have learned tools and techniques and I am ready to start applying them in real projects.	○	○	◉	○	○
The seminar made me feel better prepared to plan and manage my own project.	○	○	◉	○	○

Learning Modules Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the learning modules we placed in this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the module's quality and 5 is the highest grade. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Introduction (Welcome package, Program details, Belbin test)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Module 1 - Project Planning Tools (Ex: Problem Tree, Budget Planning, etc)	○	◉	○	○	○	○
Module 2 - Monitoring (Excel Guide, Webmo, etc.)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Module 3 - Monitoring and Evaluation (Ex: The basics of Monitoring & Evaluation, Qualitative and Quantitative Methods, etc)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Module 4.1: Designer's Perspective (Julia Diehl)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Module 4.2: Storytelling (Sonja Schwarz)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Module 4.3 -	○	○	○	○	○	◉

Storytelling (Dr. Stephen Wagner)

Comments on Learning Modules Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Lecturers Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the participants lecturers of this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the lecturer and 5 is the highest grade. We care about the clarity of the contents, the readiness of the lecturer to answer questions, respect of the participants and the usefulness of the shared contents. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module or, also, if you do not remember the lecturer.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Kajo Stelter (Module 1)	○	◉	○	○	○	○
Dory Estrada (Module 1 and Proposal Writing in Module 3)	○	◉	○	○	○	○
Robert Heine (Module 2)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Meik Nowak (Module 3)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Julia Diehl (Module 4.1)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Sonja Schwarz (Module 4.2)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Dr. Stephen Wagner (Module 4.3)	○	○	○	○	○	◉

Comments on Lecturers Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Please see comment below

Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the hosts roles and the Learning Platforms we installed for this Academy.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
The onboarding process of the participant was friendly and careful	○	○	◉	○	○
The hosts (Malu, Marie and Waldo) where there always open to help you and assist you with your questions	○	○	○	○	◉
Miro Board: The use of Miro board contributed to your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	◉	○
E-mail: E-mail communications were clear and moderated	○	○	○	◉	○
Teachable: Teachable helped in your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	◉	○
Slack: Slack helped in the Academy communications, participants' networking and it was easy to use	○	○	○	◉	○
Zoom: Zoom	○	○	○	◉	○

worked well and it was easy to use

Comments on Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your appreciations.

What did you like the most? *

Please write here you you liked the most of the learning experience.

-

What did not you like about the Academy? *

I honestly have to say that I was highly disapointed by the Academy and its clear lack of reflections of power structures in such a power poised field like international development.

1) There seemed to be no reflection about the picture that was being reproduced in this academy. Nearly all students were read as BIPoC and coming from the global south and the input givers (in my time) and from what I saw in the timeline were predominantly white and and people based in the global north. An online format would have given you the chance to critically engage with these power relations in the development field. You should have definetly sought out BIPoC lectures from the global South. Like this it seemed that only knowledge can be transfered from North to South and not from South to South.

2) What was disturbing as well was the dominant idea that neoliberalism is the answer to all the "problems" that the global south / "developing countries" have. Saying stuff like women in rural areas do not have valuable skills for the market is making peoples lives only as valuable as their participation in the labour market and capitalist system. These economic systems are to blame for the inequality that is the current status quo. This sort of narrativ totally erases their knowledge of so many valuable things which we cannot even imagine in our narrow eurocentric perspectiv. Furthermore, a woman read person saying that patriachial structures and the thereby occuring discrimination can only be removed by policy is totally unfeminist and frightening to hear. Policies are mostly created by men for men in powerul positions!

The lack of such critical reflections is merely going to perpetuate the unjust, exploitative structures of international development that have been entrenched for the last 70 years.

I would advise Weltweit – Gesellschaft zur Förderung lokaler Initiativen e.V. to highly reflect on the potenial power imbalances, anti-blackness and discrimination which they are feeding into and profiting from.

These being vital readings to start with:
https://www.thenewhumanitarian.org/opinion/2020/06/15/United-Nations-racism-black-lives-matter?fbclid=IwAR0S4vbtMIIHrUB8ba10gJxddLSDExvDPxxLHqid0nexH3g6Y3evKk9lvYQ

https://nowhitesaviors.org/

https://blogs.lse.ac.uk/internationaldevelopment/2020/09/02/we-need-to-tell-our-own-story-working-as-a-black-humanitarian/

Comments

Comments on the workshop and suggestions for improvement of future workshops

More actual representation

This form was created inside 2811.

Google Forms

Project Management Summer Academy: Measuring What Works

We can only improve the workshop if we know that we have reached our goals or not. Please help us to find out by answering the following questions.

General Evaluation

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
Through the seminar I have understood the logic and principles of the international Monitoring & Evaluation standards.	○	○	○	◉	○
I have learned tools and techniques and I am ready to start applying them in real projects.	○	○	○	○	◉
The seminar made me feel better prepared to plan and manage my own project.	○	○	○	◉	○

Learning Modules Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the learning modules we placed in this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the module's quality and 5 is the highest grade. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Introduction (Welcome package, Program details, Belbin test)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Module 1 - Project Planning Tools (Ex: Problem Tree, Budget Planning, etc)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Module 2 - Monitoring (Excel Guide, Webmo, etc.)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 3 - Monitoring and Evaluation (Ex: The basics of Monitoring & Evaluation, Qualitative and Quantitative Methods, etc)	○	○	○	◉	○	○
Module 4.1: Designer's Perspective (Julia Diehl)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.2: Storytelling (Sonja Schwarz)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.3 -	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Storytelling (Dr. Stephen Wagner)

Comments on Learning Modules Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Lecturers Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the participants lecturers of this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the lecturer and 5 is the highest grade. We care about the clarity of the contents, the readiness of the lecturer to answer questions, respect of the participants and the usefulness of the shared contents. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module or, also, if you do not remember the lecturer.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Kajo Stelter (Module 1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dory Estrada (Module 1 and Proposal Writing in Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Robert Heine (Module 2)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Meik Nowak (Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Julia Diehl (Module 4.1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Sonja Schwarz (Module 4.2)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dr. Stephen Wagner (Module 4.3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Comments on Lecturers Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

Wonderful lecturers and good energies all around, thank you!

Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the hosts roles and the Learning Platforms we installed for this Academy.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
The onboarding process of the participant was friendly and careful	○	○	○	◉	○
The hosts (Malu, Marie and Waldo) where there always open to help you and assist you with your questions	○	○	○	○	◉
Miro Board: The use of Miro board contributed to your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	◉	○	○
E-mail: E-mail communications were clear and moderated	○	○	○	○	◉
Teachable: Teachable helped in your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	◉	○
Slack: Slack helped in the Academy communications, participants' networking and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Zoom: Zoom	○	○	○	○	◉

worked well and it was easy to use

Comments on Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your appreciations.

Miro can be a bit confusing in the beginning, a lot of time went in to understanding the platform, moving things around etc. It was always entirely clear how to use it.
It was slightly confusing soemtimes though to have e-mail and slack communication. (I got sent a lot of google calendar mails but not much aside from that). It was good to have all the links sent in slack, just maybe not necessary to send them twice? (e-mail and slack?), and the access to the Miro boards was a bit difficult sometimes, when I didn't immediately find the link in my group (there was also the link to the other's projects) etc. but might have been just me

What did you like the most? *

Please write here you you liked the most of the learning experience.

Having an amicable and open environment in which to learn with people from all over the world, with their unique viewpoint. Very nice approach overall :) good vibes

What did not you like about the Academy? *

That it was online, I think that really took away much from the experience, however it did make it possible for people from other corners of the world to also join and connect so also a positive

Comments

Comments on the workshop and suggestions for improvement of future workshops

Thank you! I did learn a lot and will be doing an Erasmus for Young Entrepreneurs where I will be writing project proposals for EU funding (my own!) with the help of my host organization. I already feel much more equipped for this experience than before the workshop and hope to collaborate with Weltweit e.V. in the future!

This form was created inside 2811.

Google Forms

Project Management Summer Academy: Measuring What Works

We can only improve the workshop if we know that we have reached our goals or not. Please help us to find out by answering the following questions.

General Evaluation

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
Through the seminar I have understood the logic and principles of the international Monitoring & Evaluation standards.	○	○	○	○	◉
I have learned tools and techniques and I am ready to start applying them in real projects.	○	○	○	○	◉
The seminar made me feel better prepared to plan and manage my own project.	○	○	○	◉	○

Learning Modules Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the learning modules we placed in this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the module's quality and 5 is the highest grade. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Introduction (Welcome package, Program details, Belbin test)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 1 - Project Planning Tools (Ex: Problem Tree, Budget Planning, etc)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 2 - Monitoring (Excel Guide, Webmo, etc.)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 3 - Monitoring and Evaluation (Ex: The basics of Monitoring & Evaluation, Qualitative and Quantitative Methods, etc)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.1: Designer's Perspective (Julia Diehl)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Module 4.2: Storytelling (Sonja Schwarz)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Module 4.3 -	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Storytelling (Dr. Stephen Wagner)

Comments on Learning Modules Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

All modules were intensively given and interesting!

Lecturers Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the participants lecturers of this Academy. Please rate from 1 to 5, where 1 is a very low evaluation of the lecturer and 5 is the highest grade. We care about the clarity of the contents, the readiness of the lecturer to answer questions, respect of the participants and the usefulness of the shared contents. Please select N/A if you did not attend to the specific learning module or, also, if you do not remember the lecturer.

	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Kajo Stelter (Module 1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Dory Estrada (Module 1 and Proposal Writing in Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Robert Heine (Module 2)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Meik Nowak (Module 3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Julia Diehl (Module 4.1)	○	○	○	○	◉	○
Sonja Schwarz (Module 4.2)	○	○	○	○	○	◉
Dr. Stephen Wagner (Module 4.3)	○	○	○	○	◉	○

Comments on Lecturers Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your grades.

They were confidential, rational and well trained!

Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation *

We would like to ask you to give us your appreciation on the hosts roles and the Learning Platforms we installed for this Academy.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Don't know or N/A	Agree	Strongly agree
The onboarding process of the participant was friendly and careful	○	○	○	○	◉
The hosts (Malu, Marie and Waldo) where there always open to help you and assist you with your questions	○	○	○	○	◉
Miro Board: The use of Miro board contributed to your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
E-mail: E-mail communications were clear and moderated	○	○	○	○	◉
Teachable: Teachable helped in your learning process and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Slack: Slack helped in the Academy communications, participants' networking and it was easy to use	○	○	○	○	◉
Zoom: Zoom	○	○	○	○	◉

worked well and it was easy to use

Comments on Hosts, Systems and Learning Platforms Evaluation

Any comments on the evaluation you just made? It would be helpful for us to hear the rationality behind your appreciations.

Too interesting, easy to access and use, very clear and well defined!

What did you like the most? *

Please write here you you liked the most of the learning experience.

Brain storm session, breakout room discussion and main session, Slack and chat communication!

What did not you like about the Academy? *

Nothing, but not enough time for group discussion!

Comments

Comments on the workshop and suggestions for improvement of future workshops

The face to face training should be interesting if the Covid-19 pandemic may be controlled throughout the world!! Thank you too for the Project Management Summer Academy Team!

This form was created inside 2811.

Google Forms